Rheumatology

The Journal of Rheumatology

Volume 35, no. 6

Exercise for Fibromyalgia: A Systematic Review

ANGELA J. BUSCH, CANDICE L. SCHACHTER, TOM J. OVEREND, PAUL M. PELOSO and KAREN A.R. BARBER

J Rheumatol 2008;35;1130-1144 http://www.jrheum.org/content/35/6/1130

- 1. Sign up for TOCs and other alerts http://www.jrheum.org/alerts
- 2. Information on Subscriptions http://jrheum.com/faq
- 3. Information on permissions/orders of reprints http://jrheum.com/reprints_permissions

The Journal of Rheumatology is a monthly international serial edited by Earl D. Silverman featuring research articles on clinical subjects from scientists working in rheumatology and related fields.

Exercise for Fibromyalgia: A Systematic Review

ANGELA J. BUSCH, CANDICE L. SCHACHTER, TOM J. OVEREND, PAUL M. PELOSO, and KAREN A.R. BARBER

ABSTRACT. Objective. Fibromyalgia (FM) is a syndrome expressed by chronic widespread pain often associated with reduced physical function. Exercise is a common recommendation in management of FM. We evaluated the effects of exercise training on global well-being, selected signs and symptoms, and physical function in individuals with FM.

Methods. We searched Medline, Embase, CINAHL, SportDiscus, PubMed, PEDro, and the Cochrane Central Register for Controlled Trials to July 2005 and included randomized trials evaluating cardiorespiratory endurance, muscle strength, and flexibility. Methodological quality was assessed using the van Tulder and Jadad instruments. Training protocols were evaluated using American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) guidelines. Clinical heterogeneity limited meta-analysis to 6 aerobic and 2 strength studies.

Results. There were 2276 subjects across the 34 studies; 1264 subjects were assigned to exercise interventions. Metaanalysis of 6 studies provided moderate-quality evidence that aerobic-only exercise training at ACSM-recommended intensity levels has positive effects on global well-being (SMD 0.49, 95% CI 0.23–0.75) and physical function (SMD 0.66, 95% CI 0.41–0.92) and possibly on pain (SMD 0.65, 95% CI –0.09 to 1.39) and tender points (SMD 0.23, 95% CI –0.18 to 0.65). Strength and flexibility remain underevaluated; however, strength training may have a positive effect on FM symptoms.

Conclusion. Aerobic-only training has beneficial effects on physical function and some FM symptoms. Strength-only training may improve FM symptoms, but requires further study. Large, high-quality studies of exercise-only interventions that provide detailed information on exercise prescription and adherence are needed. (First Release May 1 2008; J Rheumatol 2008;35:1130–44)

Key Indexing Terms:FIBROMYALGIAEXERCISEMETAANALYSISTREATMENT OUTCOME

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a "syndrome of widespread pain, decreased pain threshold, and characteristic symptoms that include non-restorative sleep, fatigue, stiffness, mood disturbance, irritable bowel syndrome, headache, paresthesias, and other less common features"¹. The American College of Rheumatology diagnostic criteria include widespread pain for longer than 3 months' duration, with pain on palpation on at least 11 of 18 specified tender points on the body². Prevalence rates in individuals of all ages have been reported to be 2% (female 3.4%, male 0.5%)³. Limitations in activities associated with daily living have been reported to be as

From the School of Physical Therapy, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada.

A.J. Busch, PhD, Associate Professor, Director, School of Physical Therapy; C.L. Schachter, PhD, Adjunct Professor, School of Physical Therapy, University of Saskatchewan; T.J. Overend, PhD, Associate Professor, School of Physical Therapy, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario; P.M. Peloso, MD, Director, Clinical Immunology, Analgesia, Anemia, and Urology, Clinical Development, Merck Research Laboratories, Merck & Co.; K.A.R. Barber, MSc, Director of Quality Improvement, Saskatchewan Health Quality Council.

Address reprint requests to A.J. Busch, School of Physical Therapy, University of Saskatchewan, 1121 College Drive, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 0W3, Canada. E-mail: Angela.Busch@usask.ca

Based on a Cochrane Review published in The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4 (see www.thecochranelibrary.com for information). Cochrane Reviews are regularly updated as new evidence emerges and in response to feedback and The Cochrane Library should be consulted for the most recent version of the review. high in patients with FM as in patients with rheumatoid arthritis⁴. In individuals who seek medical attention, the condition is chronic and nonremitting, with symptoms affecting every aspect of life, including work, family life, and leisure⁵. The effect of FM on the ability to work and productivity is substantial, with 20% to 50% of persons with FM able to work few or no days^{6,7}, 36% experiencing an average of 2 or more absences from work per month, and 26.5% to 55% receiving disability or social security payments^{7,8}.

Despite investigation of a wide range of options, optimal management of FM is still unknown. Evidence-based guidelines^{9,10} and reviews¹¹⁻¹⁷ have examined a range of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic management options. Nonpharmacologic strategies include interventions classified as mind-body cognitive/cognitive-behavioral, exercise, complementary, and alternative therapies. Goldenberg, *et al*⁹ concluded that "despite the chronicity and complexity of FM, there are pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions available that have clinical benefit. Based on current evidence, a stepwise program emphasizing education, certain medications, exercise, cognitive therapy, or all 4 should be recommended."

Many individuals with FM have been shown to be sedentary¹⁸ with levels of cardiorespiratory fitness well below average¹⁸⁻²¹. While the underlying pain, fatigue, and depression are likely to contribute to sedentary lifestyles and there-

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2008; 35:6

fore low levels of fitness, the exercise studies indicate that individuals with FM are able to perform maximal tests of cardiorespiratory fitness, low and moderate intensity aerobic exercise, and flexibility and muscle-strengthening exercises. Additionally, while exercise is recognized as one part of the management of FM, not all of the clinically relevant and practically important aspects of exercise prescription have been identified.

The primary objective of this systematic review is to evaluate the effects of exercise training including cardiorespiratory (aerobic), muscle strengthening, and/or flexibility exercise on global well-being, selected signs and symptoms, and physical function in individuals with FM. Determining the effectiveness of various types and training volumes of exercise for improvement of FM signs and symptoms, and which outcomes are most affected by exercise, will help to guide clinicians in exercise prescription and assist individuals with FM to approach exercise with realistic expectations of benefits and difficulties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We searched the literature for randomized trials comparing an intervention that included an exercise component with an untreated control (randomized controlled trials) or a non-exercise intervention (randomized clinical trials) for individuals with FM. We included studies using published diagnostic criteria^{2,22-25}. While exclusion criteria varied among studies, all allowed for exclusion of individuals with medical conditions for which exercise could be either contraindicated or unsafe under unmonitored conditions.

Types of interventions. We classified exercise interventions into 2 types: composite interventions included both an exercise and non-exercise component(s) delivered simultaneously; and exercise-only interventions that had no additional non-exercise component. Exercise-only interventions were subsequently classified by the predominant exercise type (excluding warm-up and cool-down). Exercise-only interventions included aerobic-only training, strength-only training, flexibility-only training, or mixed exercise-only interventions (that included some combination of aerobic, strength, and flexibility exercise). No restrictions on frequency, intensity, or duration were made beyond requiring that the exercise component of composite interventions be a substantial part of that treatment.

Search strategy. We searched Medline (1966 to May 2005), CINAHL (1982 to May 2005), HealthStar (1990 to May 2005), SportDiscus (1975 to May 2005), Embase (1974 to May 2005), and the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CENTRAL, Issue 3, 2005), using no language restrictions. We used a search strategy²⁶ that included keywords and MeSH headings used for FM and experimental trials and that described the wide spectrum of forms of physical activity, exercise, and exercise testing. In addition, 2 reviewers independently reviewed reference lists from identified articles, metaanalyses, and reviews of all types of management strategies for FM, and all promising references were scrutinized.

Study selection. Two reviewers independently scanned the titles and reviewed abstracts of studies generated from searches, reviews, and metaanalyses. After retrieving complete publications for the promising abstracts, full-text articles were examined independently by 2 reviewers to determine if they met the selection criteria. Disagreements between reviewers were resolved through consensus. Foreign language studies were translated and included in the review.

Data extraction and management. Two reviewers independently extracted study characteristics and results from each report and subsequently checked point-estimates for outcome measures. Discrepancies were rechecked and consensus achieved by discussion. In the case of missing data or when fur-

ther clarification was needed, we contacted authors. Responses were received from 10 authors.

Methodological quality assessment. Two instruments for assessing methodological quality were applied after agreement on a consistent interpretation of each instrument. The van Tulder Methodological Quality Criteria^{27,28} were applied with the following 2 deviations from van Tulder²⁷. We interpreted "patient blinding" to mean rigorous information control because it is not possible to blind subjects to an exercise intervention (item "h"). We used a withdrawal rate of 20% (item "l") as acceptable and awarded positive scores if data from at least 80% of subjects were analyzed at completion of the primary short-term endpoint of the study, or if all subjects who entered the study were analyzed at completion (i.e., intention-to-treat analysis). We also applied the Jadad Methodological Quality Criteria as described by Jadad, *et al*²⁹.

Two or 3 reviewers independently applied the quality assessment tools to each study and then met to compare results. Differences in ratings were resolved by consensus. Interrater reliability, calculated using kappa coefficients, ranged from K = 0.864 for studies evaluated by 3 reviewers to K = 0.914 for studies evaluated by 2 reviewers, indicating "almost perfect" agreement according to Landis and Koch³⁰.

Using the 11 items of the van Tulder instrument that reflect internal validity²⁸ we classified studies into high, moderate, and low quality categories using arbitrary groupings of 8–11 for high quality, 5–7 for moderate quality studies, and \leq 4 for low quality studies. In this way, moderate quality represented scores of 50% or greater because one of the 11 items (the blinding of the care provider) is seldom achieved in exercise studies. In this review, we placed greater weight on high and moderate quality studies.

Evaluation of congruence of exercise/physical activity with recognized guidelines. We evaluated exercise interventions using the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) guidelines for exercise training^{31,32} and the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines for physical activity³³. Two reviewers independently classified studies as either meeting or not meeting the ACSM and CDC guidelines, and then met to reach a consensus by discussion.

ACSM guidelines were used to assess whether interventions had provided a training stimulus that would effect changes in physical fitness. The ACSM recommendations for achieving improvements in physical fitness represent widely accepted criteria. Since exercise guidelines have not been developed for those with FM, the ACSM guidelines developed for healthy individuals were used. For cardiorespiratory endurance (aerobic training), the required exercise stimulus was as follows: (a) frequency of exercise at least 3 days per week; (b) intensity of exercise sufficient to achieve or exceed 40% of heart rate reserve (range 40% to 85%) or 64% of predicted maximum heart rate (range 64% to 94%); (c) sessions of at least 20 minutes' duration (range 20 to 60 minutes), either as continuous exercise or spread intermittently throughout the day in blocks of 10 minutes or more, and using any mode of aerobic exercise involving use of major muscle groups in rhythmic activities; and (d) a total time period of at least 6 weeks. For muscle strengthening, the exercise dosage requirements were: (a) frequency of 2 to 3 days per week; and (b) a minimum of one set of 8 to 12 repetitions at an intensity of the 8 to 12 Repetition Maximum of each exercise, using any type of strengthening exercise that can be progressed over time. Flexibility training dosage requirements were: (a) frequency of exercise ≥ 2 days per week; (b) intensity to a position of mild discomfort; and (c) 3 to 4 repetitions of each stretch held for a duration of 10 to 30 seconds.

We used the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention physical activity guideline³³ to evaluate whether interventions had provided an exercise or physical activity stimulus that could improve health. These guidelines are supported by epidemiological studies addressing minimum intensities and duration of physical activity that can improve health-related variables (such as blood pressure and lipid profile). The recommendation that most adults should perform at least 30 minutes of moderate intensity physical activity (in blocks of at least 10 minutes) on 5 or more days of the week or

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Busch, et al: Exercise for FM

at least 20 minutes of vigorous intensity exercise at least 3 days per week, represents a public health statement to the general population.

Measures of treatment effect. We grouped the outcome measures into 6 constructs representing global well-being, commonly experienced signs and symptoms of FM, and observer-measured physical function. Primary outcomes represented 4 constructs: (1) pain; (2) global well-being (overall feeling of well-being) or perceived improvement in FM symptoms as assessed by the study participant or observer; (3) physical function (reflected by tests evaluating the cardiorespiratory system, muscle strength, or flexibility); and (4) tender points. Secondary outcomes represented 2 constructs: depression, and fatigue and sleep. When researchers reported more than one measure for any one construct, we used the following order of preference for analysis: (1) Pain: visual analog scale (VAS), Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ) VAS subscale, ordinal scale. (2) Global: FIQ Total, subject-rated VAS or ordinal scale, health professional-rated change, Quality of Life scale, Sickness Impact Profile Total. (3) Physical Function: selected on a case by case basis depending on researchers' stated objectives. (4) Tender Points: dolorimetry, total myalgic score, tender point count. (5) Depression: Beck Cognitive, Beck Total, Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression, FIQ-Depression, Arthritis Impact Measurement Scales-Depression subscale.

We calculated standardized mean differences (SMD), using means and standardized deviations of change scores for each intervention. When not available, standard deviations of change scores were derived directly from confidence intervals of change scores, or estimated from the pre-test and post-test standard deviations (or standard errors) where these were provided.

Evaluation of clinically important differences. Recent literature suggests use of relative difference in change scores or percentage change as a guide for determining clinically important difference. The magnitude of change constituting clinically important difference ranges from $15\%^{34}$ to approximately $30\%^{35}$. We chose a conservative estimate of 30% relative percentage improvement as a benchmark for clinical importance based on the work of Farrar, *et al*³⁵ in the area of chronic pain. This is consistent with the findings of Dunkl, *et al*³⁶, who examined responsiveness of measures of clinical improvement in FM. Relative percentage improvement was calculated as the mean change in the treatment group minus the mean change in the control group divided by the pooled mean for the baseline scores for the variable.

Assessment of heterogeneity. Heterogeneity among the trials was assessed using the heterogeneity statistics (chi-squared, 1^2). We considered values of $p \le 0.1$ to be indicative of significant heterogeneity. Where p < 0.1 and/or $1^2 > 50\%$, the results were examined for sources of clinical heterogeneity as well as methodological differences. Reviewers identified important sources of heterogeneity as: variations in intervention type (exercise-only or composite), exercise type(s) and dosage, disparate comparators (e.g., intervention versus a control group or versus a second intervention), timing of measurement of outcome measures, and methodological quality. If no methodological or clinical reason could be found to explain the statistical heterogeneity, we proceeded with the metaanalysis using a random-effects model.

Data synthesis. Statistical analyses were conducted using RevMan Analyses software³⁷. We carried out metaanalysis of trials that compared the effects of one type of exercise (aerobic-only or strength-only interventions) to control conditions that did not involve any form of active treatment. The control conditions we accepted were: treatment as usual, attention-only, and wait-list control strategies. For aerobic-only studies, we included only moderate and high quality studies. We did not impose quality restrictions when analyzing strength-only interventions due to the limited number of trials available. When multiple interventions were compared in a single study, we analyzed the comparisons for each exercise intervention separately. We preferentially analyzed intention-to-treat (ITT) data when available. Mean change scores were compared, and weighted and combined using a random-effects model. All other studies were analyzed using effect sizes (SMD) and confidence intervals.

When interpreting the results of the metaanalyses, we used Cohen's categories for effect size³⁸ to evaluate the magnitude of the effect (0.2 = small effect, 0.5 = medium effect, 0.8 = large effect). We applied the van Tulder²⁸ recommendations regarding levels of evidence as follows.

- Strong: consistent findings among multiple high quality randomized clinical trials (RCT)
- Moderate: consistent findings among multiple low quality RCT and/or controlled clinical trials (CCT) and/or one high quality RCT
- Limited: one low quality RCT and/or CCT
- Conflicting: inconsistent findings among findings among multiple trials (RCT and/or CCT)
- No evidence from trials: no RCT or CCT

We defined "inconsistent evidence" when analysis showed one of: (1) an absence of high quality studies, where at least one RCT clearly favored control while at least one RCT clearly favored treatment; and (2) when more than one high quality study is available, and at least one high quality RCT clearly favored control while at least one high quality RCT clearly favored treatment. We defined "consistent" evidence as analysis in which: all studies clearly favored treatment (or control) or some studies clearly favored treatment, while the remainder are inconclusive (that is, they do not exclude the null). We defined "clearly favor" when the confidence interval excluded zero.

Assessment of biases. When appropriate, publication bias was assessed using a visual assessment of the funnel plot generated using RevMan Analyses software^{37,39}. Except for the aerobic-only exercise studies, there were too few studies in any other grouping to perform sensitivity analysis. We assessed the bias related to low methodological quality using visual inspection of the forest plots of low quality studies versus the moderate to high quality aerobic-only studies.

RESULTS

We inspected 3989 titles generated from searches conducted in 2002 and 2005 and found 86 citations of full-length articles describing experimental trials in subjects with FM that examined the effects of interventions including an exercise component. Excluded studies are listed in Table 1. Of the 27 excluded studies, 4 did not adequately characterize the population, 19 were not randomized trials, and 4 did not include an intervention that we characterized as exercise.

Thirty-four trials were included in this review. Three were followed by subsequent reports about the same subjects. Hakkinen, *et al*⁶⁸ and Hakkinen, *et al*⁶⁹ were counted as one study for analysis. Gowans, *et al*⁷⁰ and Mannerkorpi, *et al*⁷¹ presented information on longterm uncontrolled followup of RCT they studied, thus these reports were treated as secondary studies and were excluded from analysis. The basic characteristics of the studies included are summarized in Table 2. There were 2276 subjects across the 34 studies with a confirmed diagnosis of FM; 1264 subjects were assigned to exercise interventions. The average sample size for the smallest experimental group was 24.7 (SD 16.4, range 5–80). Mean age in the studies ranged from 27.5 to 60.2 years in 33 studies (unspecified in Ramsay⁷²). For the 2197 subjects for whom gender was reported, 96.4% were female.

The 34 studies evaluated 47 interventions including exercise. Subjects were randomized to at least one aerobic-only intervention in 15 studies, to strength-only interventions in 3 studies, to flexibility-only interventions in 3 studies, to

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Table 1. Excluded studies. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

Study	Reason for Exclusion
Ahlgren 2001 ⁴⁰	Diagnosis: trapezius myalgia
Astin 200341	Did not meet exercise criteria (QiGong)
Bailey 199942	One-group design
Bakker 199543	Between-group analysis not done
Dawson 200344	One-group before-after design
Gandhi 200045	Not randomized
Geel 200246	Not randomized
Gowans 2002 ⁴⁷	Examines measurement issues of selected variables already reported in an included study; new variables did not include standard deviations
Guarino 200148	Diagnosis: Gulf War syndrome
Han 1998 ⁴⁹	Not randomized
Hunt 200050	Unspecified diagnostic criteria for FM
Karper 200151	Not randomized
Kendall 200052	Did not meet exercise criteria (body awareness)
Kingsley 200553	Use of published diagnostic criteria not verified
Mason 199854	Not randomized
Meiworm 2000 ⁵⁵	Not randomized
Mobily 2001 ⁵⁶	Case study
Nielens 200057	Not randomized
Offenbacher 2000 ⁵⁸	Non-experimental narrative review
Oncel 199459	No description of exercise
Peters 200260	Diagnosis: persistent unexplained symptoms
Pfeiffer 2003 ⁶¹	One-group before-after design
Piso 200162	Not randomized
Rooks 200263	One-group design
Thieme 2003 ⁶⁴	Did not meet exercise criteria (passive
	physiotherapy with light movement in water)
Tiidus 1997 ⁶⁵	One-group repeated-measures design
Vlaeyen 1996 ⁶⁶	Insufficient description of exercise
Worrel 200167	One-group design

mixed exercise-only interventions in 11 studies, and to a composite aerobic exercise plus education intervention in 4 studies. Other composite interventions were explored in only one study each: mixed exercise plus medication; flexibility exercise plus medication; mixed exercise plus self-management strategies and group discussion; aerobic exercise plus biofeedback; aerobic exercise within a multidisciplinary program; and aerobic exercise as part of a spa treatment. Twelve studies had more than one intervention that included exercise.

Outcomes. A large variety of measures (n = 166) were used to evaluate the effects of the 6 outcomes. To evaluate pain, most studies (n = 22) used a 10-cm VAS. The FIQ was the test most commonly used to measure for global well-being (n = 13). The tests most commonly used to measure physical performance (aerobic) were the 6-minute walk test (n =6) and maximum oxygen uptake (n = 7). Although several studies used dolorimetry, the most common measure of tender points was the tender point count (12 studies). Depression was measured using the Beck Depression Inventory in 5 studies and using the depression VAS in 5 studies. The most common measure of fatigue was the FIQfatigue VAS, which was reported in 10 studies. *Methodological quality of studies*. Results of the methodological assessment are provided in Table 3. The mean of van Tulder scores for internal validity was 5.06 (out of a total possible of 11); the mode was 4 (range 1–9). Four studies were classified as high quality, 15 as moderate quality, and 14 as low quality. More than half the studies were deficient in 6 or more internal validity criteria (concealment of treatment allocation, compliance with treatment, patient blinding, care provider blinding, control of cointervention, valid randomization).

Evaluation of training stimulus. Twenty studies described exercise interventions that met ACSM recommendations: 17 for aerobic training (Table 3), 3 for strength training^{69,82,98}, and 2 for flexibility^{83,86}. Eleven of 14 studies that did not meet the ACSM recommendations did not provide sufficient detail about the aerobic, strengthening, or flexibility exercises to accurately determine the adequacy of the training stimulus or flexibility intervention. Of the remaining studies, in Norregaard, *et al*⁹², we judged that ACSM guidelines had not been met because authors reported the subjects were unable to achieve intended intensity levels. In Mannerkorpi, *et al*⁸⁶, exercise was not designed to elicit a training effect and in Zijlstra, *et al*¹⁰³ the duration of the exercise program was too short (15 days).

Three studies examining aerobic training interventions met CDC recommendations for physical activity^{88,90,93}. Seven of those that did not meet the CDC recommendations did not provide sufficient detail about the aerobic exercise to accurately determine the adequacy of the training stimulus^{72,74,75,78,81,84,96}. The remaining studies employed interventions that provided insufficient frequency and/or duration of exercise to satisfy the CDC standards for either moderate or vigorous physical activity.

Potential sources of bias. Small sample size is a methodological weakness of most included studies; only 5 studies of the 34 included studies met the standard of 50 subjects per group¹⁰⁴.

Adverse effects. Five of the 1264 subjects assigned to aerobic exercise interventions experienced adverse effects possibly related to exercise, including one metatarsal stress fracture⁹⁵, one case of ischialgia¹⁰², and 2 cases of transient knee pain⁸⁸.

All other reports of adverse effects focused on increases in FM symptoms. There was no agreement about whether protracted increases in FM symptoms (especially pain, stiffness, and/or fatigue) should be reported as adverse effects of exercise. A number of studies using aerobic exercise interventions reported increased FM symptoms that may have affected performance, adherence, and attrition^{86,92,94,95,101}. Only one of the 3 strength-only interventions reported worsening pain; 11% of participants in Jones, *et al*⁸³ reported increased pain. Conversely, Hakkinen, *et al*⁶⁹ did not report any adverse effects and stated "even heavy resistance training can be safely used in the treatment of fibromyalgia."

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Table 2. Studies included in the metaanalysis	From The Cochrane L	Library 2007, Issue 4,	with permission.
---	---------------------	------------------------	------------------

Study	Method	Participants, F:M; age range (yrs	Interventions (n)	Type of Exercise	Outcomes
Altan 2004 ⁷³	RClinT, active therapy 12 wks, followup (controlled) 12 wks	46:0; 31–56 (43.9)	Pool-based exercise in heated pool (24), balneotherapy (22)	Mixed patient	Pain, tender points, fatigue, sleep, stiffness, muscle endurance, -rated disability (status), HP-rated disability (status), FIQ,
Buckelew 1998 ⁷⁴	RCT, active therapy 6 wks, maintenance 2 yrs	108:9; 41.9 (8.1) to 45.6 (9.4) t	Aerobic + HP (26), iofeedback + aerobic (23) biofeedback (25); education + attention control (27)	Aerobic , composite self-ef	Pain, tender points, physical function (self-report), global, ficacy, fatigue and sleep, psychological function
Burckhardt 1994 ⁷⁵	RCT, 12 wks	99:0; 46.5 (8.3)	Exercise + education (28), education (28); wait-list control (80)	Composite muscle-skeleta ef	Pain, tender points, physical function (CR fitness, self-report, al tests), global, self- ficacy, fatigue, sleep, ychological function
Cedraschi 2004 ⁷⁶	RCT, active therapy 6 wks, followup (controlled) 6 mo	152:12; 48.9 (9.7) to 49.8 (9.8)	Multidisciplinary program including mixed exercise (84); wait-list control (80)	Composite	Pain, tender points, HP-rated disability (status), SF-36, FIQ, quality of life
Da Costa 2005 ⁷⁷	RCT, active therapy 12 wks, followup, (controlled) 9 mo	79:0; 49.2 (8.7) 1 to 52.3 (10.8)	Home-based exercise (39): treatment as usual control (40)	Aerobic	Pain, CR (max), FIQ
Genc 2002 ⁷⁸	RClinT 3 wks	32:0; 27.9 (5.4) to 27.5 (5.6)	Exercise (16), remedial exercise (16)	Mixed	Flexibility, FIQ
Gowans 1999 ⁷⁹	RCT 6 wks, followup 3 mo	32:9; 44.3 (10.7) 1 to 46.6 (12.2)	Exercise + education (20): wait-list control (21)	Composite	Pain, physical function (CR fitness, self-report), global, self-efficacy, fatigue, sleep, psychological
Gowans 2001 ⁸⁰	RCT 23 wks	44:6; 44.6 (8.7) to 49.8 (7.3)	Exercise (27); untreated control (23)	Aerobic peri FIQ	Tender points, CR (functional formance), muscle strength, 9, self-efficacy, depression,
Hakkinen 2001 ⁶⁹	RCT: pre-therapy	33.0. 37 (6) to 39 (6	ar) FM strength (11):	nxiety Strength	Pain muscle strength global
Harkinen 2001	control (for all groups) 4 wks; active therapy 21 wks	55.0, 57 (0) 10 55 (0	FM untreated control (10), healthy subject strength control (12)	Suchgur	fatigue, sleep, depression
Hakkinen 2002 ⁶⁸	RCT 21 wks	33:0; 37 (5) to 39 (6) FM strength (11); FM untreated control (10), healthy subject strength control (12)	Strength respons DHEAS	Musculoskeletal (strength), anthropometric measures, hormonal es (testosterone, free test, S IGF-1 GH)
Isomeri 1993 ⁸¹	RClinT 15 wks	39:6; 43.7 (24–55)	Aerobic (15), aerobic + amitriptyline (14), flexibility + amitriptyline (16)	Mixed	Pain, tender points
Jentoft 200182	RClinT, active therapy 20 wks, followup (controlled) 6 mo	34:0; 39.4 (8.8) to 42.9 (8.6)	Pool-based exercise (18), land-based exercise (16)	Mixed mu	Pain, tender points, fatigue, stiffness, CR (predicted max), CR (functional performance), muscle strength, uscle endurance, patient-related disability (status), FIQ, self-efficacy, winn envirty.
Jones 2002 ⁸³	RClinT 12 wks	56:0; 46.4 (8.6) to 49.2 (6.3)	Strength (28), flexibility (28)	Strength, flexibilitystre	Pain, tender points, fatigue, muscle ngth, flexibility, FIQ, quality of
Keel 1998 ⁸⁴	RClinT, active therapy 15 wks, followup 4 mo	24:3; 48 to 50	Exercise + self- management training (14), relaxation training (13)	Mixed	Pain, fatigue, sleep
King 2002 ⁸⁵	RCT, active therapy 12 wks, followup (controlled) 3 mo	170:0; 44.9 (10) to 47.4 (9)	Aerobic (42), education (41), exercise + education (35); control (34)	Aerobic	Tender points, CR (functional performance), FIQ, self-efficacy

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Study	Method	Participants, F:M; age range (yrs	Interventions (n)	Type of Exercis	e Outcomes
Mannerkorpi 2000 ⁸	⁶ RCT 24 wks (includes 6 wks of education)	69:0; 45 (8.0) to 47 (11.6)	Exercise + education (28); treatment as usual control (29)	Composite	Pain, physical function (CR fitness, self-report, muscle-skeletal tests), obal, self-efficacy, fatigue, sleep,
Martin 1996 ⁸⁷	RClinT 6 wks	37:1; 43.9 (9.7) to 45.7 (9.9)	Exercise (18), relaxation (20)	ps Mixed (C:	Pain, tender points, physical function R fitness, muscle-skeletal tests),
McCain 1988 ⁸⁸	RClinT 20 wks	Sexes mixed but unspecified; 35.8 (11.1) to 45.9 (8	Aerobic (18), flexibility (20)	Aerobic flexibility(C	Pain, tender points, physical function R fitness), global, fatigue, sleep, wchological function
Mengshoel 1992 ⁸⁹	RCT 20 wks	25:0; 34 (25–38) to 35.5 (21–47)	Aerobic (11); physical activity as usual control (14)	Aerobic mus	Pain, physical function (CR fitness, cle-skeletal tests), fatigue, sleep, ological function
Meyer 2000 ⁹⁰	RCT 24 wks	8:0; 49.5 (6.3) con	Low intensity aerobic (8), high intensity aerobic (8); physical activity as usual ntrol (5). (Note: original gr assignment not retained)	Aerobic p	Pain, tender points, physical function (CR fitness, self-report), global, ssychological function
Nichols 1994 ⁹¹	RCT 8 wks	17:2; 47.8 (11.1) to 50.8 (11.8)	Aerobic (10); sedentary control (9)	Aerobic psy	Pain, physical function (self-report).
Norregaard 1997 ⁹²	RCT 12 wks	Sexes unspecified; 44 (8) to 55 (10)	Aerobic (5), mixed exercise (11); hot packs control (7)	Aerobic (CR global,	Pain, tender points, physical function fitness, muscle-skeletal tests), fatigue, sleep, psychological
Ramsay 2000 ⁷²	RClinT 12 wks	Sexes unspecified; age unspecified	Single exercise + HP (35), exercise class + HP (15)	Mixed	Pain, tender points, global, fatigue, sleep, psychological function
Redondo 200493	RClinT, active therapy 8 wks, followup (controlled) 6 mo, 12 mo	40:0; 52.5 (8.8)	Exercise (19), cognitive behavioral therapy (21)	Mixed	Tender points, CR (max), physical function, patient-rated change (improvement), SF-36, FIQ, self- afficacy depression anyiety coping
Richards 200294	RClinT, active therapy 12 wks, followup 1 yr from entry	126:10; 45 (38–52) to 48 (38–56)) Aerobic (69), relaxation/flexibility (67)	Aerobic	Pain, tender points, fatigue, patient-rated change (improvement), SF-36, FIQ
Schachter 2003 ⁹⁵	RCT 16 wks	143:0; 41.3 (8.67) to 42.5 (6.69)	Short-bout aerobic (56), long-bout aerobic (51); untreated control (36)	Aerobic (m	Pain, tender points, sleep, stifness, CR hax), other CR, self-reported function, patient-rated disability (status), HP-rated disability (status), FIO, self-efficacy, depression, anxiety
Sencan 2004 ⁹⁶	RCT, active therapy 6 wks, followup (controlled) 6 wks	60:0; 32.6 (9.4) to 35.5 (7.9)	Aerobic (20), antidepressant (paroxetine) (20); placebo (20)	Aerobic	Pain, tender points, sleep, depression
Valim 2003 ⁹⁷	RClinT 20 wks	76:0; 47 (10) to 44 (11)	Aerobic (32), stretching (28)	Aerobic (subm depress	Pain, tender points, CR (max), CR nax), flexibility, SF-36, FIQ, ion, anxiety
Valkeinen 2004 ⁹⁸	RCT 21 wks	36:0; 59.1 (3.5) to 60.2 (2.5)	FM strength (13); FM untreated control (13), healthy subject strength control (10)	Strength , oth	Pain, tender points, fatigue, sleep, her CR, muscle strength, self- reported function, depression
vanSanten 2002 ⁹⁹	RCT 24 wks	129:0; 42.8 (26–59) to 46.2 (26–59) tr) Exercise (with/without compliance strategy) (50), biofeedback (with/without compliance strategy) (50); eatment as usual control (2	Mixed fitne	Pain, tender points, fatigue, CR ess, CR (max), other CR, self-reported function, SIP
vanSanten 2002 ¹⁰⁰	RClinT 20 wks	37:0; 39 (20–54) to 45 (25–58) e	Exercise at self- selected intensity (15), xercise at high intensity (15)	Mixed (su 8) ps	Pain, tender points, CR (max), CR ubmax), other CR, patient-rated severity, general health status, depression, anxiety, other sychological problems

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Table 2. Continued.

Study	Method	Participants, F:M; age range (yrs)	Interventions (n)	Type of Exercise	Outcomes
Verstappen 1997 ¹⁰¹	RCT 6 mo	72:0; 42.8 (8.4) to 46.6 (8.3)	Aerobic + HP (45), non-intervention (27)	Mixed	Physical function (CR fitness, le-skeletal tests)
Wigers 1996 ¹⁰²	RCT, active therapy 14 wks, followup 4.5 yrs	55:5; 44 (10)	Aerobic (16), stress management (15) eatment as usual control (Aerobic ; (CR fi (17) psycholog	Pain, tender points, physical function tness), global, fatigue, sleep, gical function
Zijlstra 2005 ¹⁰³	RCT, active therapy 2.5 wks, followup (uncontrolled) 12 mo from baseline	28:6; 47 (24–64) to 48 (22–64)	Spa (58); treatment as usual control (76)	Composite	Pain, tender points, fatigue, sleep, CR (submax), patient-rated general health status, FIQ, depression

RCT: randomized controlled trial; RClinT: randomized clinical trial, comparing various treatments without a control group; HP: home exercise program; CR: cardiorespiratory fitness; FIQ: Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire; SIP: Sickness Impact Profile.

Valkeinen, *et al*⁹⁸ reported no adverse effects and commented that "it is noteworthy that, after the initial phase of training, the patients did not complain of any unusual exercise induced pain or muscular soreness during the experimental period, and even intensive strength training did not worsen the symptoms." Worsening pain was reported with one flexibility exercise intervention⁸³ (incidence not reported). In mixed exercise intervention studies, both vanSanten, *et al*⁹⁹ and vanSanten, *et al*¹⁰⁰ describe post-exercise soreness as an important barrier to adherence to mixed exercise training in about 50% of participants performing either high intensity or self-selected intensity interventions. Among studies with composite interventions, Cedraschi, *et al*⁷⁶ speculated that increased pain may have contributed to high attrition rates in the exercise group, but this was not quantified.

Adherence. The requirement that exercise must be tolerated by individuals with FM is fundamental to application of exercise as an intervention for FM. While a few researchers reported good adherence to high intensity cycle ergometry⁸⁸ and strength training^{69,98}, other researchers reported that participants had serious problems adhering to the exercise programs because of increased FM symptoms^{86,92,99,101}. Such difficulties with exercise combined with high attrition rates in exercise studies suggest the importance of employing more tools to measure exercise adherence in order to identify the dose-response curves for FM signs and symptoms for different types and modes of exercise.

Attrition. Attrition rates for the 17 aerobic exercise intervention groups averaged 27.0% (SD 18.9%, range 0 to 67%); there was no attrition in the 2 strength training interventions. In 2 flexibility interventions, attrition was 12.5% (range 9% to 16%; one study did not report attrition per group). The 13 mixed exercise interventions had attrition rates of 14.6% (SD 11.8%, range 0 to 40%). The 11 composite interventions had dropout rates of 14.8% (SD 9.6%, range 0 to 27%). Mean attrition in the 20 nontreatment control groups was 12.3% (SD 11.8%, range 0 to 47%) and in the comparator groups was 18.0% (SD 14.1%, range 0 to 49%).

Metaanalyses. Results of the metaanalyses of aerobic-only exercise interventions compared to untreated controls are displayed in Figures 1 to 4. There was moderate evidence that short-term (6 to 23 weeks) aerobic-only exercise training prescribed at ACSM-recommended levels resulted in:

• a medium-size positive effect (nonsignificant) on pain (SMD 0.65, 95% CI –0.09 to 1.39) pooled from 183 subjects in one high quality¹⁰² and 2 moderate quality studies^{74,95} (Figure 1);

• a medium-size positive effect on global measures of well-being (SMD 0.49, 95% CI 0.23 to 0.75) pooled from 269 subjects from 4 moderate quality studies^{74,80,85,95} (Figure 2);

• a medium-size positive effect on objective measures of physical function (SMD 0.66, 95% CI 0.41 to 0.92) pooled from 253 subjects in one high quality¹⁰² and 3 moderate quality studies^{80,85,95};

• a small-size positive effect (nonsignificant) for tender points (SMD 0.23, 95% CI –0.18 to 0.65) pooled from 309 subjects. One high quality study¹⁰² reported a significant large positive effect, 3 moderate quality studies^{74,80,95} found small nonsignificant positive effects, and one moderate quality study⁸⁵ found a small nonsignificant effect (Figure 3).

There was conflicting evidence that the effect of shortterm (6 to 23 weeks) aerobic-only exercise training prescribed at ACSM-recommended levels resulted in a small to medium size positive effect on depression (SMD 0.40, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.76) pooled from 233 subjects from one high quality¹⁰² and one medium quality study⁹⁵ that showed no evidence of effect, and 2 moderate quality studies^{74,80} that demonstrated medium to large size effects (Figure 4).

There was limited evidence (one medium quality study⁹⁵, 87 subjects) that 16 weeks of aerobic-only exercise prescribed at ACSM-recommended levels had *no effect* in individuals with FM on stiffness (SMD -0.17, 95% CI -0.59 to 0.25) or fatigue (SMD 0.00, 95% CI -0.52 to 0.52).

There was limited evidence from 2 low quality studies^{69,98} that 21 weeks of strength-only exercise prescribed at ACSM-recommended levels resulted in:

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

	van Tulder Internal Valio	lity Subscale*	Jadad Criter	ria	ACSM Aerobic	
	Items A, B, C, D, E,	Internal	Randomization,	Total	Training	
Study	F, G, H, I, J, K	Total	Blinding, Dropout	Score	Guidelines	
Altan 2004 ⁷³	++++++-	6	2, 0, 1	2	+	
Buckelew 199874	+-++++-	6	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Burckhardt 199475	++++-	5	1, 0, 1	2	_	
Cedraschi 200476	+++-++-+++	8	2, 0, 1	3	_	
Da Costa 200577	+++++++	7	2, 0, 1	3	+	
Genc 2002 ⁷⁸	++++	4	1, 0, 1	2	-	
Gowans 1999 ⁷⁹	+++-	3	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Gowans 2001 ⁸⁰	+-+-++	5	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Hakkinen 2001 ⁶⁹	++++	4	1, 0, 1	2	NA	
Isomeri 1993 ⁸¹	+++-	3	1, 0, 1	2	-	
Jentoft 200182	+++++-	5	2, 0, 1	3	+	
Jones 200283	+-++-+-	5	2, 0, 0	2	NA	
Keel 1998 ⁸⁴	-++-+N/A++-	6	0, 0, 1	1	-	
King 2002 ⁸⁵	+++-+-+++	7	2, 0, 1	3	+	
Mannerkorpi 200086	+-+-+-+-	5	0, 0, 1	1	_	
Martin 1996 ⁸⁷	+-+-++	6	2, 0, 1	3	+	
McCain	+++++++-	6	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Mengshoel 199289	++-+-	3	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Meyer 2000 ⁹⁰	+-	1	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Nichols 199491	++-	2	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Norregaard 199792	+++-	4	2, 0, 1	3	_	
Ramsay 200072	+++	3	1, 0, 1	2	_	
Redondo 200493	+-+++	5	2, 0, 1	3	+	
Richards 200294	++++++++++	8	2, 0, 0	2	-	
Schachter 200395	+++-++++	7	2, 0, 1	3	+	
Sencan 200496	+-++++	6	1, 0, 1	2	-	
Valim 2003 ⁹⁷	+-++-	4	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Valkeinen 200498	+-+++	4	2, 0, 1	3	NA	
vanSanten 200299	+++++++	7	1, 0, 1	2	-	
vanSanten 2002100	+++++++++	8	1, 0, 1	2	+	
Verstappen 1997 ¹⁰	1++++-	4	1, 0, 1	2	_	
Wigers 1996 ¹⁰²	+-+++++++	9	2, 0, 1	3	+	
Zijlstra 2005 ¹⁰³	++++	4	2, 0, 0	2	-	

Table 3. Methodological quality and congruence with American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) aerobic training guidelines. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

* van Tulder internal validity items; congruence with ACSM aerobic training guidelines: +: met the criterion, -: did not meet the criterion; NA: not applicable. van Tulder Internal Validity Subscale: A: Was the method of randomization adequate? B: Was the treatment allocation concealed? C: Were the groups similar at baseline regarding the most important prognostic indicators? D: Was the patient blinded to the intervention? E: Was the care provided blinded to the intervention? F: Was the outcome assessor blinded to the intervention? G: Were cointerventions avoided or similar? H: Was the compliance acceptable in all groups? I: Was the dropout rate described and acceptable? J: Was the timing of the outcome assessment in all groups similar? K: Did the analysis include an intention-to-treat analysis?

• a large positive effect on global well-being^{69,98} (47 subjects, SMD 1.43, 95% CI 0.76 to 2.10; Figure 5);

• a medium positive effect (nonsignificant) on objective measures of physical function^{69,98} (47 subjects, SMD 0.52, 95% CI -0.07 to 1.10).

There was limited evidence from low quality studies^{69,98} that 21 weeks of strength-only exercise prescribed at ACSM-recommended levels resulted in:

• a large positive effect on pain⁶⁹ (21 subjects, SMD 3.00, 95% CI 1.68 to 4.32);

• a large positive effect on tender points⁹⁸ (26 subjects, SMD 1.52, 95% CI 0.63 to 2.41);

• a large positive effect on depression⁶⁹ (21 subjects, SMD 1.14, 95% CI 0.20 to 2.08).

Effectiveness of all other exercise interventions. SMD (effect sizes) and 95% CI for the effects in exercise-only trials excluded in the metaanalyses are summarized in Table 4. Several significant medium to large effect sizes favoring several exercise (Table 4) and composite interventions (data not shown) were found. Global well-being was the outcome most commonly shown to improve, with significant medium to large effects in 6 interventions.

Clinically significant improvements. Improvements of

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Busch, et al: Exercise for FM

Study or sub-category	N	Treatment Mean (SD)	Ν	Control Mean (SD)		SMD (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	SMD (random) 95% Cl
01 Pain - Did Not Prescrit	e ACSM							
Sencan 2004	20	2.80(0.74)	20	1.50 (1.12)			23.23	1.34 [0.65, 2.04]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20		20				23.23	1.34 [0.65, 2.04]
Test for heterogeneity: no	t applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z =	3.80 (P = 0.0001)						
02 Pain - Did Prescribe A	CSM							
Wigers 1996	20	10.00(12.65)	20	-7.00 (14.57)			23.44	1.22 [0.54, 1.90]
Buckelew 1998	28	1.50(1.22)	28	0.50 (1.15)		- s -	25.70	0.83 [0.28, 1.38]
Schachter 2003	51	0.50(1.36)	36	0.50 (1.32)		-+-	27.63	0.00 [-0.43, 0.43]
Subtotal (95% CI)	99		84				76.77	0.65 [-0.09, 1.39]
Test for heterogeneity: Ch	ni² = 10.99, df = 2	(P = 0.004), I ² = 81.8%						
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.73 (P = 0.08)							
Total (95% CI)	119		104			-	100.00	0.81 [0.15, 1.47]
Test for heterogeneity: Ch Test for overall effect: Z =	ni² = 15.85, df = 3 2.41 (P = 0.02)	(P = 0.001), I ² = 81.1%						
					-4	-2 0 2	4	
					Favo	urs Control Eavours Ever	nise	

Figure 1. Metaanalysis for effect of aerobic exercise on pain (01: exercise programs did not meet ACSM standards; 02: exercise programs met ACSM standards). SMD: standardized mean difference. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

Study or sub-category	N	Treatment Mean (SD)	N	Control Mean (SD)		SMD (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	SMD (random) 95% CI
		. ,		. ,				
01 Global - Prescribed ACS	SM							
Buckelew 1998	28	0.70(1.14)	28	-0.10(1.02)		_ 	20.97	0.73 [0.19, 1.27]
Gowans 2001	27	9.10(9.80)	23	1.70(8.19)		 ∎	18.55	0.80 [0.22, 1.38]
King 2002	42	2.80(8.87)	34	0.90(7.75)			28.88	0.22 [-0.23, 0.68]
Schachter 2003	51	0.50(1.04)	36	0.10(0.93)		⊢ ∎−	31.59	0.40 [-0.03, 0.83]
Subtotal (95% CI)	148		121			•	100.00	0.49 [0.23, 0.75]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi-	² = 3.34, df = 3 (F	P = 0.34), I ² = 10.3%				, t		
Test for overall effect: Z = 3	3.71 (P = 0.0002)							
						· · · · ·		
					-4	-2 0 2	4	
					-			

Favours Control Favours Exercise

Figure 2. Metaanalysis for effect of ACSM-recommended aerobic exercise on global well-being. SMD: standardized mean difference. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

Study or sub-category	N	Treatment Mean (SD)	Ν	Control Mean (SD)		SM	AD (rando 95% CI	m) 1	Weight %	SMD (random) 95% Cl
01 Tender Points - Did Not P	rescribe ACS	M								
Sencan 2004	20	34.20(6.95)	20	8.65(5.86)				- 	13.66	3.90 [2.80, 4.99]
Subtotal (95% CI)	20		20					<u>ب</u>	13.66	3.90 [2.80, 4.99]
Test for heterogeneity: not ap	plicable							•		
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.9	9 (P < 0.000	01)								
02 Tender Points - Prescribed	ACSM									
Wigers 1996	20	0.70(1.01)	20	-0.20(0.54)					16.48	1.09 [0.42, 1.76]
Buckelew 1998	28	0.40(4.28)	28	-0.50(4.84)			+		17.30	0.19 [-0.33, 0.72]
Gowans 2001	27	0.00(1.59)	23	-0.50(1.58)			- -		17.12	0.31 [-0.25, 0.87]
King 2002	42	-2.20(23.93)	34	6.00(19.71)			-		17.65	-0.37 [-0.82, 0.09]
Schachter 2003	51	0.00(0.67)	36	-0.10(0.65)			· 🛓	1	17.79	0.15 [-0.28, 0.58]
Subtotal (95% CI)	168		141				•	8	36.34	0.23 [-0.18, 0.65]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi2 =	12.83, df = 4	(P = 0.01), l ² = 68.8%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.1	1 (P = 0.27)									
Total (95% CI)	188		161					1	00.00	0.76 [-0.01, 1.53]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi2 =	55.80, df = 5	(P < 0.00001), I ² = 91.0%					•			0.10 [0.01, 1.00]
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.9	3 (P = 0.05)									
					-10	-5	0	5 10		
					Fav	ours Con	trol Fav	ours Exercise		

Figure 3. Metaanalysis for effect of aerobic exercise on tender points (01: exercise programs did not meet ACSM standards; 02: exercise programs met ACSM standards). SMD: standardized mean difference. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2008; 35:6

Downloaded from www.jrheum.org on April 14, 2018 - Published by The Journal of Rheumatology

1138

Study or sub-category	Ν	Exercise Mean (SD)	Ν	Control Mean (SD)		SM	/ID (random) 95% CI		Weight %	SMD (random) 95% Cl
01 Physical Function - Pres	cribed ACSM									
Wigers 1996	20	0.10(0.13)	20	0.00(0.13)					15.80	0.75 [0.11, 1.40]
Gowans 2001	27	49.50(62.93)	23	-6.10(51.77)					18.90	0.94 [0.35, 1.53]
King 2002	42	21.90(58.71)	34	-9.10(64.30)			⊢ ∎		31.00	0.50 [0.04, 0.96]
Schachter 2003	51	0.70(3.27)	36	-1.20(2.70)					34.30	0.62 [0.18, 1.05]
Subtotal (95% CI)	140		113				•		100.00	0.66 [0.41, 0.92]
Test for heterogeneity: Chi2 =	= 1.46, df = 3 (P = 0.69), I ² = 0%					1			
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.0	09 (P < 0.0000)1)								
					-4	-2	0	2 4		
						Favours Con	trol Favour	s Exercise		

Figure 4. Metaanalysis for effect of aerobic exercise on depression (01: exercise programs did not meet ACSM standards; 02: exercise programs met ACSM standards). SMD: standardized mean difference. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

Review: Comparison: Outcome:	Exercise for treating filoromyalgia syndrome 02 *Strength Training versus Control 02 Global Well Being										
Study or sub-category	r N	Strength Training Mean (SD)	N	Control Mean (SD)		S	SMD (fixed) 95% Cl		Weight %	SMD (fixed) 95% Cl	
Hakkinen 2001 Valkeinen 200	11 4 13	26.00(15.65) 0.20(0.27)	10 13	-14.00(17.62) -0.10(0.32)			- 11	-	33.58 66.42	2.31 [1.16, 3.47] 0.98 [0.16, 1.80]	
Total (95% Cl) Test for hetero <u>o</u> Test for overall	24 geneity: Chi ^z = 3.38, df = 1 effect: Z = 4.18 (P < 0.00	1 (P = 0.07), I ^z = 70.4% 001)	23					•	100.00	1.43 [0.76, 2.10]	
-					-4 Fa	-2 vours Cor	0 ntrol Favo	2 ours Exercis	4 :e		

Figure 5. Metaanalysis for effect of ACSM-recommended strength training on global well-being. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

greater than 30% at post-test for various outcomes were sporadic (Table 5). Among our primary outcome measures, clinically significant improvements were observed in: (a) pain in one strength-only intervention; (b) global well-being in one aerobic-only, 2 strength-only, and one composite intervention; (c) musculoskeletal physical performance in one aerobic-only intervention; and (d) in tender points in 2 aerobic-only interventions. Among secondary outcome measures, clinically significant improvements were found in: (a) depression in 7 studies (2 aerobic, one strength-only, one mixed exercise-only, and 3 composite interventions), and (b) sleep (restedness in morning) in one aerobic-only intervention.

Longterm effects. Followup assessments ranged from 6 weeks to 4 years. Among aerobic-only studies, 7 reported on followup assessment (controlled^{74,77,85,96} and uncontrolled^{79,94,102}). Among mixed studies, 2 reported about controlled followup^{73,93}, one about uncontrolled⁸⁴, and among composite studies, one reported controlled followup⁷⁶ and one uncontrolled followup¹⁰³. Maintenance of post-test improvements of FM symptoms was reported inconsistently in some but not all studies for pain, global well-being, tender points, depression fatigue, physical function, and self-efficacy (function).

DISCUSSION

There is moderate quality evidence that short-term aerobiconly exercise training at ACSM-recommended levels has medium-size positive effects on global well-being and physical function. There may also be beneficial effects on pain, tender points, and depression, but these improvements were either inconsistent or statistically insignificant. Our findings are supported by other reviews and recommendations for FM management^{9,10}. There is limited evidence that strengthonly exercise has a large-size positive effect on pain, global well-being, physical function, tender points, and depression. There is also limited evidence that strength-only exercise compared to flexibility exercise has medium-size positive effects on pain and global well-being, but no effect on muscle strength. While the findings pertaining to strength training are promising, more high quality studies using larger numbers and improved adherence reporting are needed before strength training can be broadly recommended. There is no evidence as to the effects of flexibility-only exercise (compared to an untreated control group) and limited evidence that flexibility-only exercise compared to strength exercise has no effect on tender points or depression. Thus further study is needed to fully understand the effects of flexibility exercise.

There were not enough similar mixed-exercise studies with controlled comparison groups to carry out metaanalysis. Without exception, the evidence for any particular comparison arose from a single medium quality study, yielding limited evidence. There were no positive effects of any mixed intervention on signs and symptoms of FM, with the exception of objective measures of physical function. While

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Table 4. Short-term treatment effects expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD)* (95% CI) for exercise-only interventions excluded from metaanalysis due to clinical heterogeneity. All positive values denote greater improvement in Intervention 1 versus Intervention 2. Therefore, a positive value for pain intensity would mean pain intensity has decreased with intervention 1. van Tulder Score is based on the 11 internal validity items as described²⁸. From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

Study	van Tuld Score ^a	er Intervention 1 (n1)	Intervention 2 (n2)	Global Well-being	Pain	Tender Points	Observer- Measured Physical Function	Depression
McCain 1988 ⁸⁸	6	Aerobic (18)	Flexibility (20)		NS	0.78 (0.12, 1.90)	1.12 (0.45, 1.79)	_
Jones 2002 ⁸³	5	Strength (28)	Flexibility (28)	0.55 (0.02, 1.09) 0.0	56 (0.12, 1.20)	NS	Strength NS, flexibility -0.53 (-1.06, 0.00)	NS
Richards 200294	4 8	Aerobic (69)	Relaxation (67)	NS	NS	NS	_	_
Martin 199687	6	Mixed exercise (18)	Relaxation (20)	NS	_	1.01 (0.33, 1.69)	5.79 (4.28, 7.31)	_
Schachter 20039	95 7	Aerobic, long- bout (51)	Aerobic, short- bout (36)	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Van Santen 2002	2 ¹⁰⁰ 8	Mixed exercise, high intensity (58)	Mixed exercise, self-selected intensity (85)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Jentoft 200182	5	Mixed exercise, water (18)	Mixed exercise, land (16)	0.93 (0.22, 1.64)	NS	—	NS	NS
Ramsay 2000 ⁷²	3	Aerobic, supervised (35)	Aerobic, unsupervised (15)	NS	NS	NS	—	—
Da Costa 2005 ⁷⁷	7 8	Mixed exercise, home-based (39)	Untreated control (40)	NS	—	—	—	NS
Buckelew 19987	⁷⁴ 6	Aerobic (26)	Biofeedback only (25)	NS	NS	NS	—	NS
Altan 200473	6	Mixed exercise (24)	Balneotherapy (22)	NS	NS	NS	NS 0	.88 (0.27, 1.49)
Redondo 200493	³ 5	Mixed exercise (19)	Cognitive behaviou training (21)	r NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Van Santen 2002	2 ⁹⁹ 7	Mixed exercise (50)	Untreated control (79)	NS	NS	NS	NS	_

* Small change = 0.2, moderate change = 0.5, large change = 0.8. Data for comparison at 12 weeks or as close to 12 weeks as possible was used to calculate SMD. SMD = (mean change in Intervention 1 – mean changed in Intervention 2)/(pooled SD of change). NS: not significant.

Table 5. Clinically significant improvements (> 30% at posttest). From The Cochrane Library 2007, Issue 4, with permission.

Outcome Measure	Aerobic-only vs Control	Strength-only vs Control	Other Interventions Including Exercise
Depression	Buckelew 1998 ⁷⁴ , Wigers 1996 ¹⁰²	Hakkinen 2001 ⁶⁹	Cedraschi 2004 ⁷⁶ , Zijlstra 2005 ¹⁰³ , Buckelew 1998 ⁷⁴ , Altan 2004 ⁷³
Tender points	Wigers 1996 ¹⁰² , Secan 2004 ⁹⁶		
Global well-being (FIQ total)	Schachter 200395	Hakkinen 2001 ⁶⁹ , Valkeinen 2004 ⁹⁸	
Physical function	Mengshoel 199289		
FIQ rested	Schachter 200395		
Self-efficacy for function	Schachter 200395		
Pain		Hakkinen 200169	
Anxiety			Mannerkorpi 2000 ⁸⁶ , Cedraschi 2004 ⁷⁶
SF-36 general health, SF-36 physical role, SF-36 vitality			Mannerkorpi 2000 ⁸⁶
FIQ work missed			Cedraschi 2004 ⁷⁶ Zijlstra 2005 ¹⁰³

FIQ: Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

The Journal of Rheumatology 2008; 35:6

mixed exercise programs appeal intuitively to the clinician who seeks to facilitate positive effects of 2 or 3 types of exercise, further investigations of individual types of exercise-only prescriptions compared with controls are needed first, to allow more complete understanding of the effects of exercise on FM.

Composite interventions and comparison groups were widely varied and resulted in limited evidence of positive effects and no effects for the active interventions. The one exception was for aerobic and education versus untreated control, where there was limited evidence of a medium effect on measures of physical function in favor of the intervention. Future studies employing designs that allow comparison of both composite interventions and specific components of the composite would help to clarify the effects of both composite and "pure" interventions.

Clinically significant improvements (defined as > $30\%^{35}$) were observed sporadically and only when exercise interventions were compared to untreated control groups. Global well-being improved with 5 interventions, tender points with 2 interventions, and depression with 7 interventions. Remaining improvements of > 30% in pain, physical function, and sleep were noted with one intervention. These sporadic clinically significant effects align with large effect sizes, and were in general agreement with the finding of moderate to large effects in the metaanalyses and effect size analyses. We reiterate our observations that small sample sizes, high attrition rates, unclear or poor adherence to exercise, and lack of high quality studies must also inform the interpretation of the clinical relevance of findings reported here.

Evidence of longterm effects of exercise is difficult to interpret due to lack of consistency in followup periods, outcome measures, and control over other activities. It is well established that cessation of training will result in a loss of training effects over time. Further study of longterm effects should clarify the training volume needed to maintain improvements in FM signs and symptoms as well as the factors associated with adherence to exercise programs. For those who continue to exercise at any level, it is important to document the effects on FM signs and symptoms.

FM is a difficult syndrome to study because of what is often an unpredictable pattern of exacerbations of symptoms that make participation in exercise problematic for many people. Adverse effects of exercise were not consistently reported in included studies. Adverse effects that were reported suggest that some researchers may have regarded adverse effects exclusively as problems outside the sphere of symptoms of FM, while other researchers and participants categorized increases in symptoms such as pain and fatigue as adverse effects. Indeed, the 2 may be difficult to consistently separate. Creating and reporting on a standardized definition of adverse effects is required. It appears that most exercise programs described here can be safely completed by people with FM. Clinicians prescribing exercise are reminded to develop prescriptions that begin with low intensity, short duration exercise, increasing intensity and duration slowly, checking frequently with participants for flares of FM symptoms, and reducing training volume until such flares subside.

Researchers continue to overwhelmingly recruit women. While this is consistent with the well established demographics of FM, exercise studies of men with FM would provide welcome information. The issues around mixed and composite interventions have been identified above. While FM may be best managed clinically with a combination of measures, advancing the understanding of effects of exercise will be understood best through isolating the effects of specific types of exercise as rigorously as possible. Multifactorial research designs that can evaluate the separate and synergistic effects of interventions should be used.

The studies reviewed do not present a clear depiction of actual intensity or duration of exercise performed by the participants: no study analyzed or reported these in a systematic manner. Without further reporting of adherence to targets within each exercise session, the reviewers were left without a definitive understanding of intensities of exercise tolerated by individuals with FM. While McCain, *et al*⁸⁸ and Hakkinen, *et al*⁶⁹ assert that individuals with FM can successfully perform vigorous aerobic and strength-training, respectively, many other researchers noted particularly poor adherence and adverse effects to high intensity exercise. Exercise performed at low intensity levels appears to be better tolerated, although some studies reported that participants had difficulty with exercise even at low intensities. It is unclear why such discrepancies exist.

Future interventions should specifically address training volume using the ACSM guidelines both for prescribing exercise and describing exercise performed. This includes detailed description of the prescribed exercise progression as well as systematic monitoring and reporting of adherence to prescribed exercise (outlining the intensity, duration, and frequency of exercised performed). This information will contribute to our understanding of the dose-response relationship for tolerance of exercise intensity and FM symptom improvement with each type of exercise. Further, consistent use of the ACSM classification of exercise intensity is needed in future studies so that researchers, clinicians, and individuals with FM have the same understanding of the limits of light, moderate, and vigorous intensity exercise. Examining participants' impressions of and reactions to exercise, with special attention to those who drop out of programs, may yield valuable information that would help clinicians understand the influence of and problems with exercise for individuals with FM. Evaluation of interventions using the CDC physical activity recommendations is also warranted in order to identify the effectiveness of both types of programs on FM symptoms, physical fitness, and health. There are numerous outcome measures assessing the

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

Busch, et al: Exercise for FM

same constructs. This contributes to the large variability in metaanalyses. Core sets of outcome measures and agreement on how best to measure them would improve the validity of this research. While progress is being made with respect to agreement about domains that should be evaluated in studies of FM management¹⁰⁵, much work remains to be done. In this review, over 100 tests and instruments were used, including 8 for pain, 9 for cardiovascular fitness, and 13 for physical function. Greater agreement around "gold standards" for assessing outcomes in nonmedical management for FM is needed.

Conclusions. There is moderate quality evidence that shortterm aerobic training (at the intensity recommended to elicit increases in cardiorespiratory fitness) produces important benefits in people with FM in global outcome measures, physical function, and possibly pain and tender points. Analysis of percentage change reinforces results of the metaanalyses. There is limited evidence that strength training improves a number of outcomes including pain, global well-being, physical function, tender points, and depression. There is insufficient evidence regarding the effects of flexibility exercise. Strength and flexibility remain underevaluated as exercise prescriptions for people with FM. Despite a number of studies investigating the effect of combination management strategies including exercise, this area has also received inadequate study. There is limited evidence on a variety of other outcomes including stiffness, fatigue, and depression. Adherence to aerobic exercise interventions of many of the studies was poor. In order to clarify the effects of exercise on FM and thus offer individuals with FM and clinicians definitive guidance about exercise, we recommend that further research focus on larger sample sizes, high quality studies comparing one type of exercise to untreated controls, use of ACSM and CDC exercise and physical activity guidelines, detailed reporting of exercise prescription and adherence during the intervention and during longterm followup, determination of dose-response effects, creation of standardized definitions to report adverse effects, and use of standard outcome measures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Louise Falzon for help with the literature search; Lara Maxwell and Nancy Santesso of the Cochrane Musculoskeletal Review Group for help with procedural questions; and Laurel Walker, Jessica Peterson, Chantale Nightingale, and Stephanie Hogan for help with manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Wolfe F. The fibromyalgia syndrome: a consensus report on fibromyalgia and disability. J Rheumatol 1996;23:534-9.
- Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. Arthritis Rheum 1990;33:160-72.
- Wolfe F, Ross K, Anderson J, Russell IJ, Hebert L. The prevalence and characteristics of fibromyalgia in the general population. Arthritis Rheum 1995;38:19-28.

- Hawley DJ, Wolfe F. Pain, disability, and pain/disability relationships in seven rheumatic disorders: a study of 1,522 patients. J Rheumatol 1991;18:1552-7.
- 5. Henriksson CM. Longterm effects of fibromyalgia on everyday life. A study of 56 patients. Scand J Rheumatol 1994;23:36-41.
- Ledingham J, Doherty S, Doherty M. Primary fibromyalgia syndrome — An outcome study. Br J Rheumatol 1993;32:139-42.
- 7. Wolfe F, Anderson J, Harkness D, et al. Work and disability status of persons with fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 1997;24:1171-8.
- Martinez JE, Ferraz MB, Sato EI, Atra E. Fibromyalgia versus rheumatoid arthritis: a longitudinal comparison of the quality of life. J Rheumatol 1995;22:270-4.
- Goldenberg DL, Burckhardt C, Crofford L. Management of fibromyalgia syndrome. JAMA 2004;292:2388-95.
- Burckhardt CS, Goldenberg D, Crofford L, et al. Guideline for the management of fibromyalgia syndrome pain in adults and children. Clinical practice guideline; no. 4. Glenview, IL: American Pain Society; 2005.
- Burckhardt CS. Nonpharmacologic management strategies in fibromyalgia. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2002;28:291-304.
- Mannerkorpi K, Iversen MD. Physical exercise in fibromyalgia and related syndromes. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2003;17:629-47.
- Hadhazy VA, Ezzo J, Creamer P, Berman BM. Mind-body therapies for the treatment of fibromyalgia. A systematic review. J Rheumatol 2000;27:2911-8.
- Sim J, Adams N. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials of nonpharmacological interventions for fibromyalgia. Clin J Pain 2002;18:324-36.
- 15. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. J Rheumatol 1988;15:1833-40.
- Holdcraft LC, Assefi N, Buchwald D. Complementary and alternative medicine in fibromyalgia and related syndromes. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2003;17:667-83.
- Berman BM, Ezzo J, Hadhazy V, Swyers JP. Is acupuncture effective in the treatment of fibromyalgia? J Fam Pract 1999;48:213-8.
- Clark SR, Burckhardt CS, O'Rielly C, Bennett RM. Fitness characteristics and perceived exertion in women with fibromyalgia. J Musculoskeletal Pain 1993;1:191-7.
- Bennett RM, Clark SR, Goldberg L, et al. Aerobic fitness in patients with fibrositis. A controlled study of respiratory gas exchange and ¹³³xenon clearance from exercising muscle. Arthritis Rheum 1989;32:454-60.
- Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Padrick KP. Use of the modified Balke treadmill protocol for determining the aerobic capacity of women with fibromyalgia. Arthritis Care Res 1989;2:165-7.
- 21. Clark SR. Prescribing exercise for fibromyalgia patients. Arthritis Care Res 1994;7:221-5.
- Yunus MB. Primary fibromyalgia syndrome: current concepts. Compr Ther 1984;10:21-8.
- Yunus M, Masi AT, Calabro JJ, Miller KA, Feigenbaum SL. Primary fibromyalgia (fibrositis): clinical study of 50 patients with matched normal controls. Semin Arthritis Rheum 1981;11:151-71.
- 24. Yunus M, Masi AT, Calabro JJ, Shah IK. Primary fibromyalgia. Am Fam Physician 1982;25:115-21.
- Smythe HA. Fibrositis and other diffuse musculoskeletal syndromes. In: Kelley WN, Harris ED Jr, Ruddy S, Sledge CB, editors. Textbook of rheumatology. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1981.
- Busch AJ, Barber KA, Overend TJ, Peloso PM, Schachter CL. Exercise for treating fibromyalgia syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;4:CD003786.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

- van Tulder MW, Assendelft WJ, Koes BW, Bouter LM. Method guidelines for systematic reviews in the Cochrane Collaboration Back Review Group for Spinal Disorders. [editorial]. Spine 1997;22:2323-30.
- van Tulder MW, Furlan A, Bombardier C, Bouter L. Updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the Cochrane Collaboration Back Review Group. Spine 2003;28:1290-9.
- 29. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996;17:1-12.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33:159-74.
- American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM resource manual for guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. 4th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2001.
- American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. 7th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2006.
- 33. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Physical activity for everyone: Effective population-level strategies to promote physical activity from the *Guide to Community Preventive Services*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2005 April 14. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dnpa/physical/ recommendations.htm. Accessed March 5, 2008.
- Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on selected rehabilitation interventions for knee pain. Phys Ther 2001;81:1675-700.
- Farrar JT, Young J-PJ, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. Pain 2001;94:149-58.
- Dunkl PR, Taylor AG, McConnell GG, Alfano AP, Conaway MR. Responsiveness of fibromyalgia clinical trial outcome measures. J Rheumatol 2000;27:2683-91.
- Review Manager (Rev Man) computer program. Version 4.2 for Windows. Oxford, England: The Cochrane Collaboration; 2002.
- Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
- Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG. Analysing and presenting results. In: Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions 4.2.6 (updated September 2006). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2006.
- 40. Ahlgren C, Waling K, Kadi F, Djupsjobacka M, Thornell L, Sundelin G. Effects on physical performance and pain from three dynamic training programs for women with work-related trapezius myalgia. J Rehabil Med 2001;33:162-9.
- 41. Astin JA, Berman BM, Bausell B, Lee WL, Hochberg M, Forys KL. The efficacy of mindfulness meditation plus Qigong movement therapy in the treatment of fibromyalgia: a randomized controlled trial. J Rheumatol 2003;30:2257-62.
- 42. Bailey A, Starr L, Alderson M, Moreland J. A comparative evaluation of a fibromyalgia rehabilitation program. Arthritis Care Res 1999;12:336-40.
- Bakker C, Rutten M, van Santen-Hoeufft M, Bolwijn P, van Doorslaer E, van der Linden S. Patient utilities in fibromyalgia and the association with other outcome measures. J Rheumatol 1995;22:1536-43.
- Dawson KA, Tiidus PM. Physical activity in the treatment and management of fibromyalgia. Crit Rev Phys Rehabil Med 2005;17:53-64.
- Gandhi N, DePauw KP, Dolny DG, Freson T. Effect of an exercise program on quality of life of women with fibromyalgia. Women Ther 2002;25:91-103.
- 46. Geel SE, Robergs RA. The effect of graded resistance exercise on fibromyalgia symptoms and muscle bioenergetics: a pilot study. Arthritis Rheum 2002;47:82-6.

- 47. Gowans SE, deHueck A, Abbey SE. Measuring exercise-induced mood changes in fibromyalgia: a comparison of several measures. Arthritis Rheum 2002;47:603-9.
- 48. Guarino P, Peduzzi P, Donta ST, et al. A multicenter two by two factorial trial of cognitive behavioral therapy and aerobic exercise for Gulf War veterans' illnesses: Design of a Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study (CSP 470). Control Clin Trials 2001;22:310-32.
- Han SS. Effects of a self-help program including stretching exercise on symptom reduction in patients with fibromyalgia [Korean]. Taehan Kanho 1998;37:78-80.
- Hunt J, Bogg J. An evaluation of the impact of a fibromyalgia self-management programme on patient morbidity and coping. Adv Physiother 2000;2:168-75.
- Karper WB, Hopewell R, Hodge M. Exercise program effects on women with fibromyalgia syndrome. Clin Nurse Spec 2001;15:67-75.
- Kendall SA, Brolin MK, Soren B, Gerdle B, Henriksson KG. A pilot study of body awareness programs in the treatment of fibromyalgia syndrome. Arthritis Care Res 2000;13:304-11.
- 53. Kingsley JD, Panton LB, Toole T, Sirithienthad P, Mathis R, McMillan V. The effects of a 12-week strength-training program on strength and functionality in women with fibromyalgia. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005;86:1713-21.
- Mason LW, Goolkasian P, McCain GA. Evaluation of multimodal treatment program for fibromyalgia. J Behav Med 1998;21:163-78.
- Meiworm L, Jakob E, Walker UA, Peter HH, Keul J. Patients with fibromyalgia benefit from aerobic endurance exercise. Clin Rheumatol 2000;19:253-7.
- Mobily KE, Verburg MD. Aquatic therapy in community-based therapeutic recreation: pain management in a case of fibromyalgia. Ther Recreation J 2001;35:57-69.
- Nielens H, Boisset V, Masquelier E. Fitness and perceived exertion in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. Clin J Pain 2000;16:209-13.
- Offenbacher M, Stucki G. Physical therapy in the treatment of fibromyalgia. Scand J Rheumatol 2000;29 Suppl:78-85.
- Oncel A, Eskiyurt N, Leylabadi M. The results obtained by different therapeutic measures in the treatment of generalized fibromyalgia syndrome [Turkish]. Istanbul Tip Fakultesi Mecmuasi 1994;57:45-9.
- 60. Peters S, Stanley I, Rose M, Kaney S, Salmon P. A randomized controlled trial of group aerobic exercise in primary care patients with persistent, unexplained physical symptoms. Family Practice 2002;19:665-74.
- Pfeiffer A, Thompson JM, Nelson A, et al. Effects of a 1.5 day multidisciplinary outpatient treatment program for fibromyalgia: a pilot study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2003;82:186-91.
- Piso U, Kuther G, Gutenbrunner C, Gehrke A. Analgesic effects of sauna in fibromyalgia [German]. Physikal Med Rehabil Kurortmed 2001;11:94-9.
- 63. Rooks DS, Silverman CB, Kantrowitz FG. The effects of progressive strength training and aerobic exercise on muscle strength and cardiovascular fitness in women with fibromyalgia: a pilot study. Arthritis Rheum 2002;47:22-8.
- Thieme K, Gromnica-Ihle E, Flor H. Operant behavioral treatment of fibromyalgia: A controlled study. Arthritis Care Res 2003;49:314-20.
- Tiidus PM. Manual massage and recovery of muscle function following exercise: a literature review. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1997;25:107-12.
- Vlaeyen JW, Teeken-Gruben NJ, Goossens ME, et al. Cognitive-educational treatment of fibromyalgia: a randomized clinical trial. I. Clinical effects. J Rheumatol 1996;23:1237-45.
- Worrel LM, Krahn LE, Sletten CD, Pond GR. Treating fibromyalgia with a brief interdisciplinary program: initial outcomes and predictors of response. Mayo Clin Proc 2001;76:384-90.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.

- Hakkinen K, Pakarinen A, Hannonen P, et al. Effects of strength training on muscle strength, cross-sectional area, maximal electromyographic activity, and serum hormones in premenopausal women with fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2002;29:1287-95.
- 69. Hakkinen A, Hakkinen K, Hannonen P, Alen M. Strength training induced adaptations in neuromuscular function of premenopausal women with fibromyalgia: comparison with healthy women. Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60:21-6.
- Gowans SE, deHueck A, Voss S, Silaj A, Abbey SE. Six-month and one-year followup of 23 weeks of aerobic exercise for individuals with fibromyalgia. Arthritis Rheum 2004;51:890-8.
- Mannerkorpi K, Ahlmen M, Ekdahl C. Six- and 24-month follow-up of pool exercise therapy and education for patients with fibromyalgia. Scand J Rheumatol 2002;31:306-10.
- Ramsay C, Moreland J, Ho M, Joyce S, Walker S, Pullar T. An observer-blinded comparison of supervised and unsupervised aerobic exercise regimens in fibromyalgia. Rheumatology Oxford 2000;39:501-5.
- Altan L, Bingol U, Aykac M, Koc Z, Yurtkuran M. Investigation of the effects of pool-based exercise on fibromyalgia syndrome. Rheumatol Int 2004;24:272-7.
- Buckelew SP, Conway R, Parker J, et al. Biofeedback/relaxation training and exercise interventions for fibromyalgia: a prospective trial. Arthritis Care Res 1998;11:196-209.
- Burckhardt CS, Mannerkorpi K, Hedenberg L, Bjelle A. A randomized, controlled clinical trial of education and physical training for women with fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 1994;21:714-20.
- Cedraschi C, Desmeules J, Rapiti E, et al. Fibromyalgia: a randomised, controlled trial of a treatment programme based on self management. Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:290-6.
- Da Costa D, Abrahamowicz M, Lowensteyn I, et al. A randomized clinical trial of an individualized home-based exercise programme for women with fibromyalgia. Rheumatology Oxford 2005;44:1422-7.
- Genc A, Sagiroglu E. Comparison of two different exercise programs in fibromyalgia treatment [Turkish]. Fizyoterapi Rehabilitasyon 2002;13:90-5.
- Gowans SE, deHueck A, Voss S, Richardson M. A randomized, controlled trial of exercise and education for individuals with fibromyalgia. Arthritis Care Res 1999;12:120-8.
- Gowans SE, deHueck A, Voss S, Silaj A, Abbey SE, Reynolds WJ. Effect of a randomized, controlled trial of exercise on mood and physical function in individuals with fibromyalgia. Arthritis Rheum 2001;45:519-29.
- Isomeri R, Mikkelsson M, Latikka P, Kammonen K. Effects of amitriptyline and cardiovascular fitness training on pain in patients with primary fibromyalgia. J Musculoskel Pain 1993;1:253-60.
- Jentoft ES, Kvalvik AG, Mengshoel AM. Effects of pool-based and land-based aerobic exercise on women with fibromyalgia/chronic widespread muscle pain. Arthritis Rheum 2001;45:42-7.
- Jones KD, Burckhardt CS, Clark SR, Bennett RM, Potempa KM. A randomized controlled trial of muscle strengthening versus flexibility training in fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2002;29:1041-8.
- Keel PJ, Bodoky C, Gerhard U, Muller W. Comparison of integrated group therapy and group relaxation training for fibromyalgia. Clin J Pain 1998;14:232-8.
- King SJ, Wessel J, Bhambhani Y, Sholter D, Maksymowych W. The effects of exercise and education, individually or combined, in women with fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2002;29:2620-7.
- Mannerkorpi K, Nyberg B, Ahlmen M, Ekdahl C. Pool exercise combined with an education program for patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. A prospective, randomized study. J Rheumatol 2000;27:2473-81.
- 87. Martin L, Nutting A, MacIntosh BR, Edworthy SM, Butterwick D,

Cook J. An exercise program in the treatment of fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 1996;23:1050-3.

- McCain GA, Bell DA, Mai FM, Halliday PD. A controlled study of the effects of a supervised cardiovascular fitness training program on the manifestations of primary fibromyalgia. Arthritis Rheum 1988;31:1135-41.
- Mengshoel AM, Komnaes HB, Forre O. The effects of 20 weeks of physical fitness training in female patients with fibromyalgia. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1992;10:345-9.
- Meyer BB, Lemley KJ. Utilizing exercise to affect the symptomology of fibromyalgia: a pilot study. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000;32:1691-7.
- 91. Nichols DS, Glenn TM. Effects of aerobic exercise on pain perception, affect, and level of disability in individuals with fibromyalgia. Phys Ther 1994;74:327-32.
- Norregaard J, Lykkegaard JJ, Mehlsen J, Danneskiold Samsoe B. Exercise training in treatment of fibromyalgia. J Musculoskel Pain 1997;5:71-9.
- Redondo JR, Justo CM, Moraleda FV, et al. Long-term efficacy of therapy in patients with fibromyalgia: a physical exercise-based program and a cognitive-behavioral approach. Arthritis Rheum 2004;51:184-92.
- Richards SC, Scott DL. Prescribed exercise in people with fibromyalgia: parallel group randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2002;325:185.
- Schachter CL, Busch AJ, Peloso P, Sheppard MS. The effects of short versus long bouts of aerobic exercise in sedentary women with fibromyalgia: a randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther 2003;83:340-58.
- 96. Sencan S, Ak S, Karan A, Muslumanoglu L, Ozcan E, Berker E. A study to compare the therapeutic efficacy of aerobic exercise and paroxetine in fibromyalgia syndrome. J Back Musculoskeletal Rehabil 2004;17:57-61.
- 97. Valim V, Oliveira L, Suda A, et al. Aerobic fitness effects in fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2003;30:1060-9.
- 98. Valkeinen H, Alen M, Hannonen P, Hakkinen A, Airaksinen O, Hakkinen K. Changes in knee extension and flexion force, EMG and functional capacity during strength training in older females with fibromyalgia and healthy controls. Rheumatology Oxford 2004;43:225-8.
- 99. vanSanten M, Bolwijn P, Verstappen F, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing fitness and biofeedback training versus basic treatment in patients with fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2002;29:575-81.
- 100. vanSanten M, Bolwijn P, Landewe R, et al. High or low intensity aerobic fitness training in fibromyalgia: does it matter? J Rheumatol 2002;29:582-7.
- Verstappen FTJ, Santen-Hoeuftt HMS, Bolwijn PH, van der Linden S, Kuipers H. Effects of a group activity program for fibromyalgia patients on physical fitness and well being. J Musculoskel Pain 1997;5:17-28.
- Wigers SH, Stiles TC, Vogel PA. Effects of aerobic exercise versus stress management treatment in fibromyalgia. A 4.5 year prospective study. Scand J Rheumatol 1996;25:77-86.
- 103. Zijlstra TR, van de Laar MA, Bernelot Moens HJ, Taal E, Zakraoui L, Rasker JJ. Spa treatment for primary fibromyalgia syndrome: a combination of thalassotherapy, exercise and patient education improves symptoms and quality of life. Rheumatology Oxford 2005;44:539-46.
- Tugwell P, Shea B, Boers M, Simons L, Strand V, Wells G. Evidence-based rheumatology. London: BMJ Publishing Group; 2004.
- Mease P, Arnold LM, Bennett R, et al. Fibromyalgia syndrome. J Rheumatol 2007;34:1415-25.

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2008. All rights reserved.